



HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI H.L. DATTU, C.J.

W. P. No. 4116 of 1993

PETITIONER

Rajkumar Singh Thakur, S/o Shri G.S. Thakur, Aged about 33 years,
Occupation - Assistant Engineer,
Bilaspur Development Authority,
Bilaspur (M.P.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through - The Secretary,
Department of Housing
Environment, Mantralay,
Chhattisgarh, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Municipal Corporation,
Through - Its Commissioner,
Bilaspur, Distt. Bilaspur (CG)
3. The Chief Executive Officer,
Municipal Corporation, Bilaspur
Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)
4. Shri P.R. Choudhary,
Executive Engineer,
Bilaspur Development
Authority, Bilaspur (M.P.)

Writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India.

Present:

Shri Rajesh Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri N.K. Agrawal, Dy. A.G. for the State
Smt. Hamida Siddique, counsel for respondents 2 and 3.

ORAL ORDER

(Passed on 17th April, 2007)

The petitioner in this petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has sought for the following reliefs. They are :

- " i) To quash the order dated 06.03.1990 and 7.4.1993 (Annexure P/8 and Annexure - P/16 respectively) as illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory etc.

- 2 -

ii) For a direction to the respondents to treat respondent No.4 as Sub Engineer.

iii) For a direction to the respondents 1 to 3 to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion to the next promotional post of Executive Engineer."

(2) At the time of hearing of the writ petition, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that he would not press for reliefs No 1 and 2. A memo in that regard is also filed before this Court and the same is taken on record.

(3) Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that now, he would file appropriate representation before the respondents 2 and 3 to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion to the next promotional post. He further requests this Court to direct the respondents 2 and 3 to consider the representation and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law.

(4) The above submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner is not seriously opposed by learned counsel for the respondents. Accordingly, the following:

ORDER.

- 1) Memo filed by the petitioner is taken on record.
- 2) Reliefs No. 1 and 2 are rejected.
- 3) The petitioner is permitted to make an appropriate representation before respondents 2 and 3 to consider his case for promotion to the post of Executive Engineer within a month's time from today. If such representation is filed within the time so granted, the respondents No. 2 and 3 shall consider the same in accordance with law and

various circulars issued by the State Government from

time to time.

4) Ordered accordingly.

Sd/- Chief Justice	
-----------------------	--